App. F. IX-4

Previous References
P.P. 12-32-61

1935-36
(C-65)

File No. 114/35-
Serial Nos. 69, 70

Letter References.
C3. ~~65~~ 124-39 (3,5,7 #69)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 69, 70

Part B for May 1937

SUBJECT.

Question of publishing the results of the Mysore Civil Service Examination

WD 03607—GPB—22,500—2-8-35

1725
CB

Derivation

The Finder [illegible] Publishers
Name of Paper [illegible] Date [illegible]

[illegible] M.C.S. [illegible] as in Annexure
the Case of the M.C.S., may be Court direct
the Members giving some extra facilities
to [illegible] who have not come in for which
may also help them in their efforts to
get jobs elsewhere.

Ch
21/3

Ch. Lee

DEWAN'S OFFICE
22 MAR 1967
No. 24/1066

CB.
MS
22/3

Publication of the Results of the M.C.S. Examination

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

Subd with reference to the note of Mr. Levy to Dewan on the previous page.

1) Rule 23 of the rules of Indian Civil Service Examination states that a list of Competitors shall be made out in order of their proficiency as disclosed by the aggregate marks finally awarded to each Competitor and in that order so many Competitors, upto the determined number of appointments, as are found to by the Public Service Commissioners to be qualified by examination, shall be designated to be ~~qualified~~ selected Candidates for the Indian Civil Service, provided that they appear to be duly qualified in other respects. Rules are silent as regards publication of results of examination.

2) The rules of Indian Civil Service Examination do not fix the minimum number of marks required ~~by candidates~~ for a pass. Rule 11 distinctly states that only those who obtain not less than 50 % of the aggregate mark in the first part will be allowed to proceed to the examination in the second part.

3) It is ~~This~~ for orders ~~of~~ ~~the~~

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0417—GPB—80,000—8-9-36

Publication of the Results of the M.P.S. Exn

File No. of 193 General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

minimum number of marks required for being declared to have secured a pass in the Examination need be fixed

Subs for orders.

HMR 28/8/37

4) The latest available list of marks of Candidates of the I.C.S Examn formally received by us through the Residency is that of 1933 & is put up herewith for reference. The Govt of India seem to print a detailed list of the marks obtained in each of the papers by every candidate that sits for the Examination. H.J.

5) Under our System, the Examn is held in 2 parts and only those who secure at least 50 p.c. of the aggregate marks in the first part are allowed to sit for the Second Part. For purposes of publication of marks, only those candidates allowed to sit for the Second Part also need perhaps be considered, and a detailed statement of marks obtained by I

Serial No.

every one of the candidates in each paper — Part I as well as Part II — may be published i.e. copies given to candidates concerned or other offices concerned. Publication in the Gazette ~~xxxx~~ is perhaps unnecessary

6) In British India, they seem to have prescribed a minimum "Pass" marks, but the marks obtained by candidates who do not secure Pass marks are also announced. When detailed list of marks obtained by every one is published, it may not be necessary to fix a separate "pass" minimum ~~xx~~.

7) If the proposal is approved and a list is to be published in respect of the last Examn, a detailed Statement has to be obtained from the D. P. I. ~~xxxx~~ as full details of marks in each paper are not reported to Govt. now.

Endrsd GOC 28/8/37.

8) The opinion of the D. P. I. may, if deemed necessary, be obtained on the proposal to publish the full list of marks

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0417--GPB—30,000--8-9-36

Publication of the results of the recent M.C.S. Exam^n

CB1725

File No. of 193 . | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

9) In my view, it seems sufficient to publish the names of the successful Candidates who may be regarded as having passed the Exams. in both parties. Some Standard will have to be set up as to what Constitutes a pass. I do not think it would be of any advantage to publish the marks obtd. as it would only make the disappointment of unsuccessful Candidates keener, when they lose the prizes by a narrow miss. The D.P.I. may be consulted as Suggested. Draft may be put up.

MK
27.3.37

10) Draft Subd for perusal before issue.

MK
29/3

Dewan 11) This may be Considered a Council Matter.

MS
31-3-37

MK
1.4.37

DEWAN'S OFFICE
31 MAR. 1937
No. 417

For Disposal at a meeting. No. C. 249

1936-37

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Council Matter.

Subject

(clause.....of the schedule)

12) Question of publishing the results of the Mysore [redacted]l Service Examination.

Circulated to the Members of Council.

By Order,

2nd April 1935.

Chief Secretary to Government.

13) 6468 3/4 **First Member of Council.**

3/4

14) 6873 2.4.37. **Second Member of Council.**

3/4/37

3/4

(47)

SUBJECT:--

Question of publishing the results of the Mysore Civil Service Examination.

.....

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

14) The Vice-chancellor may consult. There is no objection to publishing the marks obtd by candidates who may be referred as having passed.

15) For orders if this may be sent over to the Vice-Chancellor unofficially.

Submd 16/ Please send the draft letter in the file

13/4

No. 2620 dt. 14.4.37

Mr N.S. Subba Rao,

Calls for his opinion in the matter

Sir!

Publication of the Results of the M.C.S. Examination

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. CB/185 of 193 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

DEWAN'S OFFICE
APR 1937
No. 4662

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL.
23 APR 1937
NO. 887

No. 2620 D/14.4.37

The Vice-chancellor (in charge)

Calls for his opinion re. the publication of the results of the M.C.S. Examination.

Letter dated 21.4.1937 from the Vice-chancellor (in charge) giving certain reasons recommending that the marks of all the candidates may be published in all the subjects.

17) Submitted for orders with reference to para 14 above.

Jr M.R. 22/4/37

18) The Vice Chancellor thinks that the list of marks in all the subjects of all the candidates who have been successful as a whole may be published.

19) But in case Govt. considers the above suggestion undesirable, he suggests that the marks of those who have taken part I and taken part II of the Examn. may be published.

20) I think that it is sufficient if the marks list of the successful candidates in both parts, is published. 'Pass' may be determined by the standard that a candidate secures at least 40% of the marks in each of the subjects.

21) Jr Part II — 24/4/37

2nd member
1st member
Dewan

22) GPR 23/4

MK 23/4

23) MR 27-4-37 MK 29/4

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0417--GPB—80,000 - 8-9-36

Publication of the Results of M.C.S. Examination

CB 1819

File No. of 193 . | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

CHIEF SECRETARY. 1 MAY 1937 GOVT. OF MYSORE.

DEWAN'S OFFICE 2 MAY 1937 No. 4787

Issue

4) A draft of a letter to Vice-Chancellor put up.

CMR 6/4/37 CGR

5) Draft Submission note is put up as directed.

6) It is submitted that the marks list may be got printed and supplied to the candidates on application. It is not necessary to print & publish the list in the Gazette. I believe this is the practice in vogue in the Govt. of India.

Submitted CGR 1/5/37

7) It may not be necessary to publish the marks of candidates who sat for the last Civil Service Exams. The new procedure may be adopted at the next Exams and succeeding ones.

Dewan) MK 1-5-37)

8) MK 2-5-37 MK 4/5.

(Dy N Not reqd)

It is observed that the file is not intended to be submitted to Govt.

9) Draft submitted.

CGR 4/5

CMR 4/5/37)

10) It is for consideration if any reply need be sent now, or whether action may be taken in connection with the next examination

CGR 4/5/37

11) For the present no action is called for. MK 5/5

Returned MK 6/5

CHIEF SECRETARY. 5 MAY 1937 GOVT. OF MYSORE.

B L Sub

220/05 112-85-69
Confdl.
7/14/4/37

Dear Mr. Subba Rao,

With reference to the Mysore Civil Service Examination, it has been suggested that besides the names of the successful candidates who are selected for appointment as Probationary Assistant Commissioners, the names of other candidates who may ~~deemed~~ be deemed to have passed the examination may be published as is done in the case of the I.C.S. Examination.

A printed copy of the ~~published~~ results of the I.C.S. Examination of 1932 is enclosed for your reference. ~~It is seen that~~ The marks obtained in each paper by every candidate ~~is~~ appears to have been published therein. Government will be glad to have your opinion as to the procedure that may be adopted here, and whether it is desirable to publish the marks obtained in each paper by all the candidates who are permitted to sit for Part II of the M.C.S examination ~~whether~~ or it will be sufficient if the names of candidates who may be regarded as having passed the examination in both parts are published. In the latter case some standard will have to be laid down as to what would constitute a pass and your suggestions in regard to this point also may be kindly furnished.

Yours sincerely,

29/3

(Chief Secretary)

N.S.Subba Rao,Esq.,M.A.,Bar-at-Law,

26 C.S/C.S Empl file 112-85-69

4th April 7

Dear Mr. Subba Rao

With reference to the Mysore Civil Service Examination, it has been suggested that besides the names of the successful candidates who are selected for appointment as Probationary Assistant Commissioners, the names of other candidates who may be deemed to have passed the examination may be published as is done in the case of candidates taking the I.C.S. Examination.

A printed copy of the results of the I.C.S. Examination of 1932 is enclosed for your reference. The marks obtained in each paper by every candidate appears to have been published therein. Government will be glad to have your opinion as to the procedure that may be adopted here, and whether it is desirable to publish the marks obtained in each paper by all the candidates who are permitted to sit for Part II of the M.C.S. Examination or it will be sufficient if the names of candidates who may be regarded as having passed the examination in both parts are published. In the latter case some standard will have to be laid down as to what would constitute a pass and your suggestions in regard to this point also may kindly be furnished.

Y. S.

N.S. Subba Rao, Esq., M.A., Bar-at-Law.,
Vice-Chancellor (in charge),
Mysore University.

C.B. 1859

Tel :-Address.
EDUCATION.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

Postal Address :-
POST BOX No. 8.

D. O.

Bangalore,
Dated, 21st April, 1937.

C.S 112-35-70

N. S. SUBBA RAO, M. A.,
BAR-AT-LAW
Director of Public Instruction.

C.B. MK 2/4

Dear Mr. Kesavadyangar

Your letter No.2620/C.B.112-35 dated 14th April '37.

I am of opinion that a list of marks similar to that published in the case of the Indian Civil Service Examination may also be published in the case of our own Civil Service Examination.

The list may be limited to those who have taken the part II of the Examination after having been declared successfully in part I, but I see no reason why the marks of those who appeared for part I and were unsuccessful either in the whole part or were not allowed to come up for the Interview because their performance in the written - paper was poor, should not also find a place. I consider it will be a good thing that prospective candidates should know how badly some candidates have done, who ought not to have taken the examination at all. If publication on such comprahensive lines is approved, no - question of a pass minimum arises, but if Government desire to limit the list to those who have succeeded in part I and have come up for part II, I am of opinion that the marks of all the candidates in all the papers should be published. The number of such candidates is relatively small, and as there will have been two sets of elimination, those who have succeeded in coming up for part II may all be considered to have passed. I find also that an examination of the marks obtained by the last candidate at the recent examination shows that a reasonable minimum like 40 per cent would have declared him a - pass, as he gets 581 against 540 (40%). A higher minimum than 40 per cent e.g., 50 per cent would eliminate only 4 out of 26 candidates. Government will agree, I am sure, that a still higher minimum would not be desirable.

P.T.O.

In conclusion, my recommendation is that the marks of all the candidates should be published in all the - subjects.

Yours Sincerely
K.S. Subba Rao

B.T. Kesava Iyengar, Esq., B.A.,
Bangalore.

8th May 1937.

2761/
C63

Dear Mr. Subba Rao,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your D. O. letter No. nil dated 21st April 1937, I am desired to request you to kindly return the file containing a copy of the results of the I. C. S. Examination of 1932 that was sent to you with my D. O. letter No.2620 dated 14th April 1937.

Yours sincerely,

M

N. S. Subba Rao, Esq., M.A., Bar-at-Law,
Vice Chancellor (In charge),
Mysore University.

Sent with draft & D.O. requesting the Vice Chancellor to return the file re. results of I.C.S. Exam: 1932.

8/5

Issued.

Sm

Tel :-Address.
EDUCATION.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

Postal Address:-
POST BOX No. 8.

CB 112-85-

D. O.

BANGALORE,

Dated, 10th May, 1937.

N. S. SUBBA RAO. M.A.,
BAR-AT-LAW
Director of Public Instruction.

C.B.

Dear Mr. Kesava Iyengar

With reference to your letter D.O.No.2761/C.B. dated 8th May 1937, I am returning herewith the copy of the results of the I.C.S. Examination of 1932 which was sent with your D.O. letter No.2620 dated 14th April 1937.

Yours sincerely
N.S. Subba Rao

B.T. Kesavaiengar, Esq., B.A.,
Bangalore.